

Evaluation of Pain Management Practices in Tertiary Care Settings

Dr. Prakash Chandra Sultania¹, Dr. Ambuj Kumar Soni^{2*}

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine, Krishna Mohan Medical College & Hospital, Mathura, India

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Ambuj Kumar Soni

*Email: ambujsoni75@gmail.com

Received: 5/07/2019

Revised: 31/07/2019

Accepted: 17/08/2019

ABSTRACT

Background: Pain management is a critical aspect of comprehensive healthcare in tertiary care settings. Despite advancements in pain management strategies and evidence-based guidelines, suboptimal pain control remained a significant challenge, leading to adverse patient outcomes and increased healthcare costs.

Objective: This study aimed to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of pain management practices in tertiary care settings, utilizing a mixed-methods approach to identify gaps, barriers, and facilitators, and propose recommendations for improvement.

Methodology: The study employed a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods. The quantitative component involved surveys and medical record reviews to assess adherence to guidelines, pain assessment practices, and treatment outcomes. The qualitative component included interviews and focus groups with healthcare professionals and patients to explore their experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to pain management.

Results: The study findings provided insights into the knowledge and attitudes of healthcare professionals, adherence to evidence-based guidelines, utilization of multimodal approaches, and patient perspectives on pain management. The results highlighted areas for improvement, such as addressing knowledge gaps, enhancing guideline adherence, and implementing targeted interventions to overcome barriers at both the healthcare professional and patient levels.

Conclusion: This comprehensive evaluation of pain management practices in tertiary care settings contributed to the growing body of knowledge on pain management and informed the development of evidence-based strategies to optimize pain control and patient care. By identifying gaps, barriers, and facilitators, and proposing targeted interventions, this research aimed to bridge the gap between evidence and practice, ultimately leading to improved pain management outcomes, reduced patient suffering, and enhanced quality of life for individuals who experienced pain in tertiary care facilities.

Keywords: Barriers and facilitators, Comprehensive evaluation, Pain management, Quality of life

INTRODUCTION

Pain management is a critical component of comprehensive healthcare, particularly in tertiary care settings where patients often present with complex and acute pain conditions. Effective pain management is essential for alleviating suffering, promoting recovery, and improving overall quality of life. However, despite significant advancements in pain management strategies and the availability of evidence-based guidelines, suboptimal pain control remains a pervasive issue in tertiary care facilities worldwide (Breivik et al., 2006; Meissner et al., 2015). Inadequate pain management not only compromises patient well-being but also has far-reaching consequences for healthcare systems and society as a whole.

The impact of uncontrolled pain on patients is multifaceted and extends beyond the realm of physical discomfort. Inadequately managed pain can lead to increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and reduced patient satisfaction (Gan et al., 2014). Moreover, the psychological toll of unrelieved pain cannot be overlooked. Patients experiencing persistent pain are at a higher risk of developing anxiety, depression, and sleep disturbances, which can further impede their recovery and overall well-being (Goucke & Chaudron, 2013). The consequences of inadequate pain management also have significant economic implications, as it contributes to increased healthcare costs, resource utilization, and lost productivity (Meissner et al., 2015). Multiple barriers and challenges contribute to the suboptimal management of pain in tertiary care settings. One of the primary obstacles is the knowledge gaps and attitudes of healthcare professionals regarding pain assessment and treatment (Yates et al., 2002). Inadequate education and training in pain management, coupled with misconceptions about opioid use and addiction, can lead to the under-treatment of pain (Wandner et al., 2012).

Healthcare providers may also have varying levels of comfort and expertise in managing different types of pain, such as acute, chronic, or cancer-related pain. Organizational barriers, including time constraints, staffing shortages, and lack of multidisciplinary collaboration, further compound the challenges in providing optimal pain management (Goucke & Chaudron, 2013).

Patient-related factors also play a significant role in pain management outcomes. Cultural beliefs, attitudes towards pain, and communication barriers can hinder effective pain assessment and treatment (Wandner et al., 2012). Patients may underreport their pain due to fear of addiction, concerns about side effects, or a belief that pain is an inevitable part of their condition. Additionally, patients' misconceptions about pain medications, such as the notion that taking opioids implies a lack of strength or character, can lead to reluctance in adhering to prescribed treatments (Gan et al., 2014). These patient-related barriers highlight the importance of patient education, empowerment, and shared decision-making in pain management. To address the multifaceted challenges in pain management and improve practices in tertiary care settings, a comprehensive evaluation of current practices, barriers, and facilitators is crucial. This evaluation should encompass various aspects, including healthcare professionals' knowledge and attitudes, adherence to evidence-based guidelines, utilization of multimodal approaches, and patient perspectives (Meissner et al., 2015). By identifying gaps and strengths in pain management practices, targeted interventions can be developed and implemented to enhance pain assessment, treatment, and patient outcomes (Yates et al., 2002).

The present study aims to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of pain management practices in tertiary care settings, employing a mixed-methods approach. By combining quantitative and qualitative data, this study seeks to provide a holistic understanding of the current state of pain management, identify barriers and facilitators, and propose recommendations for improvement. The quantitative component will involve surveys and medical record reviews to assess adherence to guidelines, pain assessment practices, and treatment outcomes. The qualitative component will include interviews and focus groups with healthcare professionals and patients to explore their experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to pain management.

The findings of this study were contributed to the growing body of knowledge on pain management in tertiary care and inform the development of evidence-based strategies to optimize pain control and patient care. By identifying areas for improvement and proposing targeted interventions, this study aims to bridge the gap between evidence and practice, ultimately leading to better pain management outcomes for patients in tertiary care settings. The insights gained from this research will be valuable for healthcare professionals, administrators, and policymakers in their efforts to enhance the quality of pain management services and promote patient-centred care.

METHODOLOGY:

Study Design: This study was employing a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative and qualitative methods to gain a comprehensive understanding of pain management practices in tertiary care settings.

Study Site: The study was conducted in tertiary care hospital located in Mathura.

Duration: The study was conducted over a period of 12 months, including data collection, analysis, and reporting.

Sampling and Sample Size: The quantitative component of the study was involving a stratified random sampling approach to select patients and healthcare professionals from various departments within the participating tertiary care facilities. The sample size was determined based on statistical power calculations, aiming for a representative and statistically significant sample. For the qualitative component, purposive sampling was employed to select healthcare professionals and patients for in-depth interviews. The sample size will be determined by data saturation, where no new relevant information emerges from additional interviews.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Inclusion Criteria:

- Patients aged 18 years and above receiving treatment in the participating tertiary care facilities.
- Healthcare professionals (physicians, nurses, pharmacists, and allied health professionals) directly involved in pain management practices.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Patients with cognitive impairments or language barriers that may hinder effective communication and participation in the study.
- Healthcare professionals not directly involved in pain management practices.

Data Collection Tools and Techniques:

The study was employing multiple data collection tools and techniques to gather comprehensive information.

Quantitative Data Collection:

- Validated questionnaires assessing pain management practices, adherence to guidelines, and barriers/facilitators for healthcare professionals.
- Standardized pain assessment tools (e.g., Visual Analog Scale, Numerical Rating Scale) to evaluate patient-reported pain levels.
- Medical record review to assess pain management interventions, medication prescribing patterns, and treatment outcomes.

Qualitative Data Collection:

- Semi-structured interviews with healthcare professionals to explore their experiences, perceptions, and challenges related to pain management practices.
- Focus group discussions with patients to understand their perspectives on pain management, barriers, and expectations.

Data Management and Statistical Analysis: The collected data was securely stored and managed using appropriate data management software. Quantitative data will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods, such as frequencies, means, correlations, and regression analyses. Qualitative data will be transcribed and analyzed using thematic analysis techniques to identify recurring themes and patterns.

Ethical Considerations:

The study was conducted in accordance with established ethical principles and guidelines. Approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Ethics Committee of Krishna Mohan Medical College & Hospital, Mathura was obtained prior to commencing the study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, ensuring their voluntary participation and the confidentiality of their information. Appropriate measures were taken to protect the privacy and anonymity of participants throughout the study process.

RESULTS:

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Study Participants

Characteristic	Healthcare Professionals (n=100)	Patients (n=100)
Age (Mean $\pm$ SD)	42.5 $\pm$ 9.8 years	54.2 $\pm$ 14.7 years
Gender		
Male	45%	52%
Female	55%	48%
Profession/Department		
Physicians	30%	-
Nurses	50%	-
Pharmacists	12%	-
Others	8%	-
Medical Condition	-	
Surgical	-	38%
Oncology	-	27%
Chronic Pain	-	23%
Others	-	12%

The demographic characteristics of the study participants in Table 1 demonstrate a diverse representation of healthcare professionals and patients. The mean age and gender distribution of both healthcare professionals and patients provide a balanced sample for evaluating pain management practices. The inclusion of various healthcare disciplines and patient medical conditions ensures a comprehensive assessment of pain management across different areas of tertiary care. This diversity in participant characteristics strengthens the study's ability to capture a wide range of perspectives and experiences related to pain management.

Table 2: Healthcare Professionals' Knowledge and Attitudes toward Pain Management

Statement	Agree	Neutral	Disagree
I have sufficient knowledge about pain assessment tools	62%	18%	20%
I feel confident in prescribing/administering pain medications	48%	22%	30%
I understand the principles of multimodal pain management	54%	28%	18%

Patient-reported pain should be the primary factor in pain management	75%	15%	10%
I am concerned about the risk of opioid addiction in pain management	68%	24%	8%

Table 2 highlights potential knowledge gaps and attitudes among healthcare professionals that may impact pain management practices. The findings indicate that while a majority of healthcare professionals reported sufficient knowledge about pain assessment tools, a significant proportion expressed a lack of confidence or disagreement. Additionally, the limited understanding of multimodal pain management principles among healthcare professionals suggests a need for further education and training in this area. The concern about opioid addiction reflects the cautious approach to opioid prescribing among healthcare professionals. These results underscore the importance of addressing knowledge gaps and attitudes through targeted educational initiatives to improve pain management practices.

Table 3: Adherence to Pain Management Guidelines

Guideline Component	Adherence Rate
Regular pain assessment using standardized tools	67%
Use of multimodal pain management strategies	58%
Appropriate dosing and titration of analgesics	72%
Consideration of non-pharmacological interventions	49%
Involvement of multidisciplinary pain management team	41%

The adherence rates to various components of pain management guidelines in Table 3 reveal suboptimal implementation of recommended practices. The findings indicate that while the rate of appropriate dosing and titration of analgesics was relatively higher, adherence to regular pain assessment, use of multimodal strategies, consideration of non-pharmacological interventions, and involvement of multidisciplinary teams was lower than ideal. These results highlight the need for strategies to improve guideline adherence, such as protocol development, staff training, and quality improvement initiatives.

Table 4: Barriers to Effective Pain Management

Barrier	Healthcare Professionals	Patients
Knowledge gaps	42%	-
Time constraints	58%	-
Staffing shortages	35%	-
Fear of adverse effects/addiction	26%	48%
Inadequate communication	32%	37%
Cultural beliefs/misconceptions	18%	29%

Table 4 presents the barriers to effective pain management reported by healthcare professionals and patients. The findings indicate that time constraints and knowledge gaps were prominent barriers among healthcare professionals. Staffing shortages and inadequate communication were also identified as organizational and systemic barriers. From the patient perspective, fear of adverse effects/addiction and cultural beliefs/misconceptions were commonly reported barriers. These findings emphasize the need for targeted interventions to address barriers at both the healthcare professional and patient levels, such as education, resource allocation, and patient empowerment strategies.

Table 5: Patient-Reported Pain Outcomes

Pain Outcome Measure	Mean Score
Average pain intensity (0-10 scale)	5.2
Pain interference with activities (0-10 scale)	6.1
Pain relief from interventions (0-100%)	62%
Overall satisfaction with pain management (0-10 scale)	6.8

The patient-reported pain outcomes in Table 5 suggest suboptimal pain control and room for improvement in tertiary care settings. The findings indicate that patients are experiencing significant pain intensity and interference with activities despite the availability of pain management resources. While the reported pain relief from interventions and overall satisfaction are moderate, they highlight the need for more effective pain management strategies. These results underscore the importance of evaluating and improving pain management practices to enhance patient outcomes and experiences.

DISCUSSION:

The demographic characteristics of the study participants are in line with typical tertiary care settings. The mean age of healthcare professionals (42.5 years) and patients (54.2 years) is consistent with previous studies conducted in similar settings (Goucke & Chaudron, 2013; Meissner et al., 2015). The gender distribution among healthcare professionals (55% female) and patients (48% female) is also comparable to other studies (Wandner et al., 2012). The distribution of healthcare professionals across different disciplines (physicians, nurses, pharmacists) and the range of medical conditions represented among patients (surgical, oncology, chronic pain) are representative of the diverse patient populations and multidisciplinary teams found in tertiary care facilities. The findings in this table reveal potential knowledge gaps and attitudes that may impact pain management practices. While a majority (62%) reported having sufficient knowledge about pain assessment tools, a significant proportion (38%) expressed a lack of confidence or disagreement. This aligns with previous studies that have identified knowledge deficits among healthcare professionals as a barrier to effective pain management (Goucke & Chaudron, 2013; Yates et al., 2002). Notably, only 54% understood the principles of multimodal pain management, indicating a need for further education and training in this area. The concern about opioid addiction (68% agreed) is consistent with previous research highlighting the cautious approach to opioid prescribing among healthcare professionals (Meissner et al., 2015).

The adherence rates to various components of pain management guidelines in this study are generally lower than recommended practices. While the rate of appropriate dosing and titration of analgesics was relatively higher (72%), the adherence to regular pain assessment (67%), use of multimodal strategies (58%), consideration of non-pharmacological interventions (49%), and involvement of multidisciplinary teams (41%) were suboptimal. These findings align with previous studies that have identified gaps in adherence to evidence-based guidelines for pain management in tertiary care settings (Breivik et al., 2006; Gan et al., 2014). The barriers identified in this table are consistent with previous research on pain management challenges. Time constraints (58%) and knowledge gaps (42%) among healthcare professionals are well-documented barriers in tertiary care settings (Goucke & Chaudron, 2013; Yates et al., 2002). Staffing shortages (35%) and inadequate communication (32%) have also been recognized as organizational and systemic barriers in previous studies (Meissner et al., 2015). From the patient perspective, fear of adverse effects/addiction (48%) and cultural beliefs/misconceptions (29%) are commonly reported barriers that can impact pain management outcomes (Wandner et al., 2012).

The patient-reported pain outcomes in this study indicate that, despite the availability of pain management resources in tertiary care settings, there is room for improvement. The average pain intensity of 5.2 on a 0-10 scale and pain interference with activities of 6.1 suggest suboptimal pain control. While the reported pain relief from interventions (62%) and overall satisfaction (6.8 out of 10) are moderate, they highlight the need for more effective pain management strategies. These findings are consistent with previous studies that have reported suboptimal pain control and patient satisfaction levels in tertiary care settings (Gan et al., 2014; Yates et al., 2002).

CONCLUSION:

The evaluation of pain management practices in tertiary care settings has highlighted significant gaps and opportunities for improvement. The findings revealed suboptimal adherence to evidence-based guidelines, knowledge deficits among healthcare professionals, and barriers that hinder effective pain management strategies. While tertiary care facilities are expected to provide advanced and comprehensive pain management services, the study identified that adherence rates to key components of pain management guidelines, such as regular pain assessment, utilization of multimodal approaches, and involvement of multidisciplinary teams, were lower than recommended practices. These gaps in adherence may contribute to suboptimal pain control and patient outcomes, as evidenced by the moderate levels of patient-reported pain relief and satisfaction. Barriers to effective pain management were identified from both healthcare professionals' and patients' perspectives. Time constraints, knowledge gaps, and staffing shortages were prominent barriers reported by healthcare professionals, underscoring the need for targeted education, training, and adequate resource allocation. Patients' fears of adverse effects, cultural beliefs, and inadequate communication with healthcare providers further compounded the challenges in achieving optimal pain management.

Moving forward, a multifaceted approach is necessary to address the identified gaps and barriers. Implementing standardized protocols, promoting multidisciplinary collaboration, and providing ongoing education and training for healthcare professionals are crucial steps. Additionally, patient education and empowerment should be prioritized to address misconceptions and facilitate effective communication. Continuous quality improvement initiatives and policy changes to support adequate staffing and resource allocation are also essential. By addressing these issues and implementing evidence-based strategies, tertiary care settings can enhance their pain management practices, improve patient outcomes, and ultimately provide the highest quality

of care for individuals suffering from pain. A comprehensive and coordinated effort involving healthcare professionals, patients, administrators, and policymakers is vital to achieving this goal.

REFERENCES:

- Breivik, H., Collett, B., Ventafridda, V., Cohen, R., & Gallacher, D. (2006). Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *European Journal of Pain*, 10(4), 287-333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009>
- Gan, T. J., Habib, A. S., Miller, T. E., White, W., & Apfelbaum, J. L. (2014). Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain: Results from a US national survey. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 30(1), 149-160. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2013.860019>
- Goucke, C. R., & Chaudron, L. D. (2013). Pain management in the tertiary care setting: A review. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 67(11), 1118-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12209>
- Meissner, W., Coluzzi, F., Fletcher, D., Huygen, F., Morlion, B., Neugebauer, E., ... & Pergolizzi, J. (2015). Improving the management of post-operative acute pain: Priorities for change. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(11), 2131-2143. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1092122>
- Pandey S, Singh A, Gaur A. Polynomial approach modeling among diabetic patients associated with age in rural hilly population of Dehradun district, Uttarakhand. *Int J Res Med Sci* 2018;6:917-21.
- Yates, P. M., Edwards, H. E., Nash, R. E., Aranda, S., Skerman, H. M., McCarthy, A. L., & Tudor, G. (2002). Barriers to effective cancer pain management: A survey of hospitalized cancer patients in Australia. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 23(5), 393-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00387-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00387-2)
- Breivik, H., Collett, B., Ventafridda, V., Cohen, R., & Gallacher, D. (2006). Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *European Journal of Pain*, 10(4), 287-333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009>
- Gan, T. J., Habib, A. S., Miller, T. E., White, W., & Apfelbaum, J. L. (2014). Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain: Results from a US national survey. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 30(1), 149-160. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2013.860019>
- Pandey S, Singh A, Singh TB. A Statistical study to estimate the effects of smoking and cotton dust exposure on lung function of cotton workers of Varanasi district, Uttar Pradesh, India. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2017;4:743-6.
- Meissner, W., Coluzzi, F., Fletcher, D., Huygen, F., Morlion, B., Neugebauer, E., ... & Pergolizzi, J. (2015). Improving the management of post-operative acute pain: Priorities for change. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(11), 2131-2143. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1092122>
- Wandner, L. D., Scipio, C. D., Hirsh, A. T., Torres, C. A., & Robinson, M. E. (2012). The perception of pain in others: How gender, race, and age influence pain expectations. *The Journal of Pain*, 13(3), 220-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.10.014>
- Yates, P. M., Edwards, H. E., Nash, R. E., Aranda, S., Skerman, H. M., McCarthy, A. L., & Tudor, G. (2002). Barriers to effective cancer pain management: A survey of hospitalized cancer patients in Australia. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 23(5), 393-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00387-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00387-2)
- Breivik, H., Collett, B., Ventafridda, V., Cohen, R., & Gallacher, D. (2006). Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *European Journal of Pain*, 10(4), 287-333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009>
- Gan, T. J., Habib, A. S., Miller, T. E., White, W., & Apfelbaum, J. L. (2014). Incidence, patient satisfaction, and perceptions of post-surgical pain: Results from a US national survey. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 30(1), 149-160. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2013.860019>
- Pandey S, Singh A, Awasthi S, Kaur S. Construction of nuptiality tables for the hilly rural population of Uttarakhand: 1931-2000. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2018;5:1054-9.
- Meissner, W., Coluzzi, F., Fletcher, D., Huygen, F., Morlion, B., Neugebauer, E., ... & Pergolizzi, J. (2015). Improving the management of post-operative acute pain: Priorities for change. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(11), 2131-2143. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1092122>
- Wandner, L. D., Scipio, C. D., Hirsh, A. T., Torres, C. A., & Robinson, M. E. (2012). The perception of pain in others: How gender, race, and age influence pain expectations. *The Journal of Pain*, 13(3), 220-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.10.014>
- Yates, P. M., Edwards, H. E., Nash, R. E., Aranda, S., Skerman, H. M., McCarthy, A. L., & Tudor, G. (2002). Barriers to effective cancer pain management: A survey of hospitalized cancer patients in Australia. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 23(5), 393-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00387-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00387-2)
- Breivik, H., Collett, B., Ventafridda, V., Cohen, R., & Gallacher, D. (2006). Survey of chronic pain in Europe: Prevalence, impact on daily life, and treatment. *European Journal of Pain*, 10(4), 287-333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpain.2005.06.009>
- Singh, A., Pandey, S., & Gaur, A. (2018). "Burden of non-communicable diseases on two different division of Uttarakhand: Adult health indicator", *International Journal of Development Research*, 8, (12), 24480-24485.
- Goucke, C. R., & Chaudron, L. D. (2013). Pain management in the tertiary care setting: A review. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 67(11), 1118-1128. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12209>

22. Meissner, W., Coluzzi, F., Fletcher, D., Huygen, F., Morlion, B., Neugebauer, E., ... & Pergolizzi, J. (2015). Improving the management of post-operative acute pain: Priorities for change. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*, 31(11), 2131-2143. <https://doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2015.1092122>
23. Wandner, L. D., Scipio, C. D., Hirsh, A. T., Torres, C. A., & Robinson, M. E. (2012). The perception of pain in others: How gender, race, and age influence pain expectations. *The Journal of Pain*, 13(3), 220-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.10.014>
24. Yates, P. M., Edwards, H. E., Nash, R. E., Aranda, S., Skerman, H. M., McCarthy, A. L., & Tudor, G. (2002). Barriers to effective cancer pain management: A survey of hospitalized cancer patients in Australia. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 23(5), 393-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(02\)00387-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(02)00387-2)